

1 Douglas J. Dixon, State Bar No. 275389  
ddixon@hueston.com  
2 HUESTON HENNIGAN LLP  
620 Newport Center Drive, Suite 1300  
3 Newport Beach, CA 92660  
Telephone: (949) 229-8640  
4 Facsimile: (888) 775-0898

5 Jonathan Kanter, D.C. Bar No. 473286  
(admitted *pro hac vice*)  
6 jonathan@kanterlawgroup.com  
Brandon Kressin, D.C. Bar. No. 1002008  
7 (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
brandon@kanterlawgroup.com  
8 THE KANTER LAW GROUP, PLLC  
1717 K Street N.W., #900  
9 Washington, D.C. 20006  
Telephone: (202) 792-3037

10 Attorneys for Third-Party Respondent  
11 Match Group, Inc.

12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
13 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
14

15 EPIC GAMES, INC.,  
16 Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant,

17 vs.

18 APPLE INC.,

19 Defendant and Counterclaimant.

20 IN RE APPLE iPhone ANTITRUST  
LITIGATION

21 DONALD R. CAMERON, et al.,

22 Plaintiffs,

23 vs.

24 APPLE INC.,

25 Defendant.

Case No. 4:20-cv-05640-YGR  
Case No. 4:11-cv-06714-YGR  
Case No. 4:19-cv-03074-YGR

**NON-PARTY YOGA BUDDHI CO.'S  
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE  
DOCUMENTS CONDITIONALLY UNDER  
SEAL PURSUANT TO CIVIL LOCAL  
RULE 79-5(e)**

1 TO THE COURT, ALL PARTIES AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD:  
 2 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT pursuant to Civil Local Rules 7-11 and 79-5, non-party  
 3 Yoga Buddhi Co. (“Yoga Buddhi”) hereby brings this administrative motion to seal certain trial  
 4 exhibits and maintain their confidentiality designations throughout the trial. Specially, Yoga  
 5 Buddhi requests sealing of any portion of the trial transcript and any exhibit in the record  
 6 (including any demonstrative) that references the personally identifying information of its users.  
 7 These trial exhibits satisfy the “compelling reasons” standard for sealing for the reasons described  
 8 below and in the supporting Declaration of Benjamin Simon (“Simon Decl.”) and as such, Yoga  
 9 Buddhi respectfully requests that they be sealed and treated as highly confidential during the entity  
 10 of the trial proceedings.

11 Under the procedures set forth in the Local Rules and which the Court has established in  
 12 this case for the protection of confidential material, Yoga Buddhi does not object to the unredacted  
 13 versions of these documents being used during the trial proceedings, assuming they are otherwise  
 14 admissible, so long as the public monitors or other displays are turned off while they are being  
 15 displayed, the redacted information sought to be sealed is not revealed during the course of  
 16 questioning, and only redacted versions of the exhibits are admitted into the public record.

17 Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-11, Yoga Buddhi’s counsel met and conferred with counsel  
 18 for Epic regarding this motion. Counsel for both Epic reported that they take no position on this  
 19 motion and will submit objections to Yoga Buddhi’s proposed sealing, if any, on April 30. *See*  
 20 Declaration of Douglas J. Dixon (“Dixon Decl., ¶ 2.)

21 Yoga Buddhi requests to seal the following materials and for those materials to remain  
 22 highly confidential at the trial:

| Exhibit | Document                         | Reason(s) for Highly Confidential Designation   |
|---------|----------------------------------|---|
| PX-2395 | DOWNDOG-EPIC_00051 (as redacted) | This is an email between a user and employee of Yoga Buddhi Co. It contains the user’s personally identifying information. Disclosing this information would place the user at risk of invasions of privacy. Yoga Buddhi Co. and its users would be injured by unnecessarily exposing its users’ private information. |
| PX-2396 | DOWNDOG-EPIC_00056 (as redacted) | This is an email between a user and employee of Yoga Buddhi Co. It contains the user’s personally   |

|    |         |   |
|----|---------|---|
|    |         | identifying information. Disclosing this information would place the user at risk of invasions of privacy. Yoga Buddhi Co. and its users would be injured by unnecessarily exposing its users' private information.   |
| 4  | PX-2397 | DOWNDOD-EPIC_00060 (as redacted)  |
| 5  |         | This is an email between a user and employee of Yoga Buddhi Co. It contains the user's personally identifying information. Disclosing this information would place the user at risk of invasions of privacy. Yoga Buddhi Co. and its users would be injured by unnecessarily exposing its users' private information.   |
| 6  | PX-2398 | DOWNDOD-EPIC_00062 (as redacted)  |
| 7  |         | This is an email between a user and employee of Yoga Buddhi Co. It contains the user's personally identifying information. Disclosing this information would place the user at risk of invasions of privacy. Yoga Buddhi Co. and its users would be injured by unnecessarily exposing its users' private information.   |
| 8  | PX-2399 | DOWNDOD-EPIC_00068 (as redacted)  |
| 9  |         | This is an email between a user and employee of Yoga Buddhi Co. It contains the user's personally identifying information. Disclosing this information would place the user at risk of invasions of privacy. Yoga Buddhi Co. and its users would be injured by unnecessarily exposing its users' private information.   |
| 10 | PX-2404 | DOWNDOD-EPIC_00238 (as redacted)  |
| 11 |         | This is an email between a user and employee of Yoga Buddhi Co. It contains the user's personally identifying information. Disclosing this information would place the user at risk of invasions of privacy. Yoga Buddhi Co. and its users would be injured by unnecessarily exposing its users' private information.   |
| 12 | PX-2405 | DOWNDOD-EPIC_00254 (as redacted)  |
| 13 |         | This is an email between a user and employee of Yoga Buddhi Co. It contains the user's personally identifying information. Disclosing this information would place the user at risk of invasions of privacy. Yoga Buddhi Co. and its users would be injured by unnecessarily exposing its users' private information. Yoga Buddhi Co. seeks redaction of personally identifying customer information. |
| 14 |         |   |
| 15 |         |   |
| 16 |         |   |
| 17 |         |   |
| 18 |         |   |
| 19 |         |   |
| 20 |         |   |
| 21 |         |   |
| 22 |         |   |
| 23 |         |   |
| 24 |         |   |
| 25 |         |   |
| 26 |         | Civil Local Rule 79-5(b) allows sealing any document that is "privileged, protectable as a trade secret or otherwise entitled to protection under the law." For documents introduced in   |
| 27 |         | connection with dispositive proceedings, "compelling reasons" must justify sealing court records.   |
| 28 |         |   |

1 *Kamakana v. City and Cty. of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1179 (9th Cir. 2006). The Ninth Circuit  
 2 and Supreme Court have long recognized that trade secrets and other information “that might harm  
 3 a litigant’s competitive standing” satisfy the compelling reasons standard. *In re Elec. Arts, Inc.*,  
 4 298 F. App’x 568, 569 (9th Cir. 2008) (unpublished) (quoting *Nixon v. Warner Commc’ns, Inc.*,  
 5 435 U.S. 589, 598 (1978) (“[C]ourts have refused to permit their files to serve as . . . sources of  
 6 business information that might harm a litigant’s competitive standing”)); *see also Ctr. v. Auto  
 7 Safety v. Chrysler Grp., LLC*, 809 F.3d 1092, 1097 (9th Cir. 2016) (same).

8 All of the documents Yoga Buddhi seeks to seal contain personally identifying information  
 9 of third parties: Yoga Buddhi’s individual users. Courts have long recognized that “third-party  
 10 privacy interests” present compelling reasons to justify sealing. *Foltz v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins.  
 11 Co.*, 331 F.3d 1122, 1137 (9th Cir. 2003); *see also Hunt v. Cont'l Cas. Co.*, No. 13-cv-05966-HSG,  
 12 2015 WL 5355398, at \*2 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 14, 2015) (sealing information because “important  
 13 privacy concerns of nonparties,” who were “not relevant to the disposition of [the] case,”  
 14 outweighed public interest). Yoga Buddhi does not seek to wholly seal these documents, but only  
 15 to “redact[] the identifying information of third parties,” such as their “names, addresses, [and]  
 16 telephone numbers[.]” *Foltz*, 331 F.3d at 1137. Indeed, although Epic seeks to use the underlying  
 17 documents at trial, the personally identifying information of third parties is not relevant to the  
 18 merits determination of this case. *See Icon-IP Pty Ltd. v. Specialized Bicycle Components, Inc.*,  
 19 No. 12-cv-03844-JST, 2015 WL 984121, at \*8 (N.D. Cal. March 4, 2015) (applying lower “good  
 20 cause” standard to seal non-party confidential information). Yoga Buddhi accordingly asks that  
 21 these materials be maintained under seal and as highly confidential if they are introduced at trial.

22 DATED: April 29, 2021.

Respectfully submitted,

HUESTON HENNIGAN LLP

25 /s/ Douglas J. Dixon  
 26 DOUGLAS J. DIXON

27 Attorneys for Third-Party Respondent  
 28 YOGA BUDDHI, CO.